## TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT APPRAISAL Site: Land off Pimlico Link Road, Clitheroe, Lancashire, BB7 Agent for Client: Sunderland Peacock & Associates Ltd

| Surveyor:    | Phill Harris – Chartered Arboriculturist |  |
|--------------|--|--|
| Survey Date: | 19 March 2014                            |  |
| Job Ref:     | BTC633                                   |  |

Page: 1 of 2

| No. | Species  | Height | Stem<br>Diam.          |                  | Branch<br>Spread     | Branch &<br>Canopy<br>Clearances | Life<br>Stage | PC | General Observations and Comments   | Management Recommendations   | ERC | Cat.<br>Grade | RPA<br>(m² <b>)</b> | RPA<br>Radius<br>(m) |
|-----|----------|--------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----|---|--|-----|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| T1  | Wych Elm | 12.5   | 290#                   | N<br>E<br>S<br>W | 4.5<br>2<br>2<br>4.5 | N/A<br>3                         | SM            | G  | <ul> <li>Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected in detail.</li> <li>Highly biased crown and moderate stem lean to north-west.</li> </ul>  | •  | 20+ | B1            | 38                  | 3.48                 |
| T2  | Ash      | 13.5   | 310#                   | N<br>E<br>S<br>W | 4<br>2<br>1.5<br>5   | 3-W<br>2                         | SM            | G  | <ul> <li>Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected in detail.</li> <li>Has evidently been heavily pruned to obtain clearances from overhead electric cables to south.</li> <li>Resultant poor form with moderate stem curvature and highly biased crown to north-west.</li> </ul>  | •  | 20+ | C1            | 43                  | 3.72                 |
| Т3  | Ash      | 20.5   | 700                    | N<br>E<br>S<br>W | 9<br>4<br>4<br>10    | N/A<br>5                         | Μ             | М  | <ul> <li>Located on steep banking.</li> <li>Stem bifurcates at a height of approximately 1.7m with an acute included bark union and compression fork below, with signs of incipient failure.</li> <li>Dense ivy up stem and into branches.</li> </ul>   | •  | 10+ | C1            | 222                 | 8.4                  |
| Т4  | Ash      | 16     | 3x330<br>(ms)          | N E<br>S W       | 7<br>3<br>2<br>8     | N/A<br>2                         | EM            |    | <ul> <li>Branch arises from north side of stem base and extends along ground for 2m before turning 45° west for a distance of approximately 7m.</li> <li>Stem trifurcates at a height of approximately 1m with included bark unions.</li> <li>Very dense ivy up stem and into branches.</li> <li>Highly biased crown to north.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Sever ivy at stem base.</li> <li>Prune to remove branch that arises from north side of stem.</li> </ul> | 10+ | C1            | 148                 | 6.86                 |
| Т5  | Ash      | 19.5   | 1x600<br>1x550<br>(ts) | E                | 4<br>7<br>3<br>7     | 5<br>4                           | М             | М  | <ul> <li>Two stems arise at ground level.</li> <li>Moderately severe stem leans.</li> <li>Dense ivy up stem and into branches.</li> </ul>   | •  | 20+ | B1            | 300                 | 9.77                 |

Headings and Abbreviations:

| Headings and Abbreviations:        |   |                          |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| No.                                | Allocated sequential reference number - Tree (T), Group ('G'), Woodland (W') or Hedge ('H') reference number - refer to plan and to numbered tags where applicable  |                          |
| Species:                           | Common name   |                          |
| Height:                            | In metres, to half nearest metre – where possible approximately 80% are measured using an electronic clinometer and the remainder estimated against the measured trees. In the case of Groups and Woodlands the measurement listed is that of the highest tree                            |                          |
| Stem Diam .:                       | Stem diameter in millimetres, to nearest 10mm - measured and calculated as per Annex C of BS5837.2012. MS = multi-stemmed, TS = twin-stemmed  |                          |
| Branch Spread:                     | Crown radius measured (or estimated where considered appropriate) from the four cardinal points (north, east, south and west) to give an accurate visual representation of the crown  |                          |
| Branch & Canopy Clearances:        | Existing height above ground level, in metres, of first significant branch and direction of growth (e.g. 2.5-N) and of canopy at lowest point – to inform on crown to height ratio, potential for shading, etc.   |                          |
| Life Stage:                        | Estimated age class - Y = young, SM = semi-mature, EM = early-mature, PM = post-mature  |                          |
| PC:                                | Physiological Condition - a measure of the tree'(s)' overall vitality, i.e. D = Dead, MD = Moribund, P = Poor, M = Moderate, G = Good   |                          |
| General Observations and Comments: | Comments relating to the tree'(s)' overall condition and any other pertinent factors including structural defects, current and potential direct structural damage, physiological decline, poor form, etc.   |                          |
| Management Recommendations:        | Either Preliminary or In Consideration of the Proposal - In the case of Arboricultural Constraints Surveys the recommended management works only take exiting site and tree circumstances and conditions into account and not proposed developments. Arboricultural Impact Assessment and | Method Statement related |
|                                    | Surveys take the proposed development into consideration with recommendations made accordingly. More than one option may be given if considered appropriate   |                          |
| ERC:                               | Estimated Remaining Contribution - in years as per BS5837:2012 (i.e. <10, 10+, 20+, 40+)  |                          |
| Cat. Grade:                        | Category Grading - tree retention value listed as U, A, B or C - in accordance with BS5837:2012 Table 1   |                          |
| RPA m <sup>2</sup> :               | Root Protection Area in m <sup>2</sup> - calculated area around the tree that must be appropriately protected throughout the development process in order avoid root damage   | Bowland 🔿                |
| RPA Radius (m):                    | Root Protection Area Radius - in metres measured from the centre of the stem to the line of tree protection   |                          |
| # (Estimated Dimensions):          | Where trees are located off-site, or are inaccessible for any other reason, and accurate measurements or other information cannot be taken then the information provided is estimated and is duly suffixed with a "#" symbol  | Tree Consultancy Ltd     |
|                                    |   |                          |

## TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT APPRAISAL Site: Land off Pimlico Link Road, Clitheroe, Lancashire, BB7 Agent for Client: Sunderland Peacock & Associates Ltd

| Surveyor:    | Phill Harris – Chartered Arboriculturist |    |
|--------------|--|----|
| Survey Date: | 19 March 2014                            | Pa |
| Job Ref:     | BTC633                                   |    |

Page: 2 of 2

| No. | Species   | Height  | Stem<br>Diam.                                 |        | Branch<br>Spread           | Branch &<br>Canopy<br>Clearances | Life<br>Stage | PC | General Observations and Comments   | Management Recommendations | ERC | Cat.<br>Grade | RPA<br>(m² <b>)</b> | RPA<br>Radius<br>(m) |
|-----|---|---------|---|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----|---|----------------------------|-----|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Т6  | Lawson Cypress                                    | 9       | 1x300<br>1x200<br>1x150<br>(ms)#              | E<br>S | 2.5<br>2.5<br>2.5<br>2.5   | N/A<br>1.5                       | SM            | G  | <ul> <li>Located on neighbouring land and therefore not inspected in detail.</li> <li>Stem in contact with boundary fence.</li> <li>Multi-stemmed.</li> </ul>   | -                          | 10+ | C1            | 69                  | 4.69                 |
| G1  | Hawthorn, Hazel                                   | ⊻ 6     | ≤<br>1x220<br>1x190<br>1x120<br>1x100<br>(ms) | E<br>S | ≤ 5.5<br>≤ 3<br>≤ 2<br>≤ 3 | N/A<br>≥ 0.5                     | EM            | М  | <ul> <li>Roughly linear mostly closely spaced group of approximately 8 trees on banking up to site boundary.</li> <li>Most are Hawthorn.</li> <li>Evidently originally part of a hedge, with large spaces between plants to west.</li> <li>All have biased crowns due to suppression by neighbouring trees and most are multi-stemmed.</li> </ul> | -                          | 10+ | C1            | ≤<br>49             | ≤<br>3.96            |
| G2  | Hawthorn, Holly,<br>Hazel                         | VI 9    | ≤<br>3x150<br>(ms)                            | E<br>S | ≤ 5.5<br>≤ 3<br>≤ 2<br>≤ 3 | N/A<br>≥ 2                       | EM            |    | <ul> <li>Roughly linear loose group of approximately 6 trees on<br/>banking up to site boundary.</li> <li>Evidently originally part of a hedge.</li> <li>All have biased crowns due to suppression by neighbouring<br/>trees and most are multi-stemmed.</li> </ul>   | -                          | 10+ | C1            | ≤<br>31             | ≤<br>3.12            |
| W1  | Ash, Hawtorn, Holly,<br>Hazel, Sycamore,<br>Elder | ≤<br>20 | ≤<br>700#                                     | E<br>S | ≤7<br>≤7<br>≤7<br>≤7       | N/A<br>≥ 0.5                     | Y-M           | MG | <ul> <li>Woodland belt located on neighbouring land and therefore not<br/>inspected in detail.</li> <li>To top of banking and therefore highly visible in immediate<br/>local landscape.</li> </ul>   |                            | 40+ | A1/2          | ≤<br>222            | ≤<br>8.4             |
| H1  | Hawthorn, Elder                                   | ≤<br>3  | ≤<br>2x100<br>(ts)#                           | 3      | ≤<br>3 wide                | N/A<br>≥ 0                       | SM            | G  | <ul> <li>Length of maintained hedge along front boundary to road.</li> <li>Located on neighbouring land.</li> <li>Mainly made up of Hawthorn.</li> </ul>  | •                          | 40+ | C1            | ≤<br>9              | ≤<br>1.7             |
| H2  | Leyland Cypress                                   | ≤<br>2  | ≤<br>80#                                      | 2      | ≤<br>2 wide                | N/A<br>N/A                       | SM            | G  | <ul> <li>Short length maintained hedge along boundary to<br/>neighbouring property.</li> <li>Located on neighbouring land.</li> </ul>   |                            | 20+ | C1            | ≤<br>3              | ≤<br>0.96            |



## BS5837:2012 Table 1 – Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

| Category and definition  | Criteria (including subcategories where  | e appropriate)   |  | Identification on plan |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Trees unsuitable for retention   | (see Note)   |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category U<br>Those in such a condition that<br>they cannot realistically be<br>retained as living trees in the<br>context of the current land use<br>for longer than 10 years | <ul> <li>Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)</li> <li>Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline</li> <li>Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality</li> <li>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see BS5837:2012 paragraph 4.5.7.</li> </ul> |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1<br>Mainly arboricultural qualities   | 2<br>Mainly landscape qualities  | 3<br>Mainly cultural values,<br>including conservation   |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trees to be considered for ret   | ention   |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category A<br>Trees of high quality with an<br>estimated remaining life<br>expectancy of at least 40 years   | Trees that are particularly good<br>examples of their species, especially if<br>rare or unusual; or those that are<br>essential components of groups or<br>formal or semi-formal arboricultural<br>features (e.g. the dominant and/or<br>principal trees within an avenue)   | Trees, groups or woodlands of<br>particular visual importance as<br>arboricultural and/or landscape<br>features  | Trees, groups or<br>woodlands of significant<br>conservation, historical,<br>commemorative or other<br>value (e.g. veteran trees or<br>wood-pasture) | Green                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category B<br>Trees of moderate quality<br>with an estimated remaining<br>life expectancy of at least 20<br>years  | Trees that might be included in category<br>A, but are downgraded because of<br>impaired condition (e.g. presence of<br>significant though remediable defects,<br>including unsympathetic past<br>management and storm damage), such<br>that they are unlikely to be suitable for<br>retention for beyond 40 years; or trees<br>lacking the special quality necessary to<br>merit the category A designation   | Trees present in numbers, usually<br>growing<br>as groups or woodlands, such that<br>they attract a higher collective rating<br>than they might as individuals; or<br>trees occurring as collectives but<br>situated so as to make little visual<br>contribution to the wider locality | Trees with material<br>conservation or other<br>cultural value   | Blue                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category C<br>Trees of low quality with an<br>estimated remaining life<br>expectancy of at least 10<br>years, or young trees with a<br>stem diameter below 150 mm              | Unremarkable trees of very limited merit<br>or such impaired condition that they do<br>not qualify in higher categories  | Trees present in groups or<br>woodlands, but without this<br>conferring on them significantly<br>greater collective landscape value;<br>and/or trees offering low or only<br>temporary/transient landscape<br>benefits   | Trees with no material<br>conservation or other<br>cultural value  | Grey                   |  |  |  |  |  |



## DISCLAIMER

Survey Limitations: Unless otherwise stated all trees are surveyed from ground level using non-invasive techniques. The disclosure of hidden crown and stem defects, in particular where they may be above a reachable height or where trees are ivy clad or in areas of ground vegetation, cannot therefore be expected. All obvious defects, however, are reported. Detailed tree safety appraisals are only carried out under specific written instructions. Comments upon evident tree safety relate to the condition of said tree at the time of the survey only.

Unless otherwise stated all trees should be re-inspected annually in order to appraise their on-going mechanical integrity and physiological condition. It should, however, be recognised that tree condition is subject to change, for example due to the effects of disease, decay, high winds, development works, etc. Changes in land use or site conditions (e.g. development that increases access frequency) and the occurrence of severe weather incidents are also significant considerations with regards tree structural integrity and trees should therefore be re-assessed in the context of such changes and/or incidents and inspected at intervals relative to identified and varying site conditions and associated risks.

Where trees are located wholly or partially on neighbouring private third-party land then said land is not accessed and our inspection is therefore restricted to what can reasonably be seen from within the site. Stem diameters of trees located on such land are estimated. Any subsequent comments and judgments made in respect of such trees are based on these restrictions and are our preliminary opinion only. Recommendations for works to neighbouring third-party trees are only made where a potentially unacceptable risk to persons and/or property has been identified during our survey. Where significant structural defects of third-party trees are identified and associated management works are considered essential to negate any risk of harm and/or damage then we will first attempt to inform the site occupier of the issues and, if not possible, then inform the relevant Council. Where a more detailed assessment is considered necessary then appropriate recommendations are set out in the Tree Survey Schedule.

Where tree stem locations are not included on the plan(s) provided then they are plotted at the time of the survey using, where appropriate and/or practicable, a combination of measurement triangulation and GPS co-ordination. Where this is not possible then locations are estimated. Restrictions in these respects are detailed in the report.

The tree survey and any report information provided is intended as a guide to identify key tree related constraints to site development only. As such, the potential influence of trees upon existing or proposed buildings or other structures resulting from the effects of their roots abstracting water from shrinkable load-bearing soils is not considered herein. The tree survey information in its current form should not therefore be considered sufficient to determine appropriate foundation depths for new buildings. Accordingly, an updated survey, with reference to the current NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 - Building Near Trees, must therefore be prepared for the specific purpose of informing suitable foundation depths subsequent to planning approval being granted. The advice of a structural engineer must also be sought with regard to appropriate foundation depths for new buildings.

**Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice:** The content and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd, save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned to us by another party or is used by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd under license. This report may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than those indicated.

Third Parties: Any disclosure of this document to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd at the instruction of and for use by our client, as named. This report does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Bowland Tree Consultancy Ltd excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the contents of this report.

